IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BREWSTER, B. Scott, ET AL.)		•
Appl. Ser. No.:	10/602,445)	Examiner:	To, Toan C.
Filed:	06/24/03))	Art Unit:	3616
ELECTRICALLY CONTROLLED ARIBAG)	Atty. Dkt. No.	14250

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to your Office Action dated March 9, 2005, Applicant respond as follows:

In electing Species I Applicant failed to indicate which claims were readable thereon. Applicant hereby indicates his belief that claims 1-12, 19-21, and 23-30 are readable on the elected species.

It is believed that the above is responsive to the Office Action and that the application is now in condition for substantive examination. Should the Examiner have any further questions or comments, however, which could be resolved via telephone, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Appl. Ser. No. 10/422,433 Amdt. Dated June 6, 2005 Reply to Office Action of March 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6/10/05

Sally J. Brown
Reg. No. 37,788
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Autoliv ASP, Inc. 3350 Airport Road Ogden, UT 84405

Phone:

(801) 625-4934

Fax:

(801) 625-7070